

COACHE Faculty Job Satisfaction Survey 2020-21 Instrumentation Summary

Prior administrations:

Response rate

64% pre-tenure faculty 56% tenured faculty

Completion rate

92% of respondents

Duration (mode)

22 minutes

^{*} Not included in benchmark (following principal component analysis), but reported individually in the COACHE Institutional Report.

	Nature of work: Research (α =.852)	
Q45B	Satisfaction with the portion of your time spent on research.	
Q80A	The amount of external funding you are expected to find	
Q80B	The influence you have over the focus of your research/scholarly/creative work	
Q80C	The quality of graduate students to support your research/scholarly/creative work	
Q80D	Institutional support (e.g., internal grants/seed money) for your research/scholarly/creative work	
Q80E	The support your institution provides you for engaging undergraduates in your research/scholarly/creative work	
Q85A	Obtaining externally funded grants (pre-award)	
Q85B	Managing externally funded grants (post-award)	
Q85C	Securing graduate student assistance	
Q85D	Traveling to present papers or conduct research/creative work	
Q85E	The availability of course release time to focus on your research	
	Nature of work: Service (α=.83)	
Q45C	Satisfaction with the portion of your time spent on service.	
Q55B	My institution helps faculty who take on additional leadership roles to sustain other aspects of their work.	
Q60A	The number of committees on which you serve	
Q60B	The attractiveness (e.g., value, visibility, importance, personal preference) of the committees on which you serve	
Q60C	The discretion you have to choose the committees on which you serve	
Q60D	How equitably committee assignments are distributed across faculty in your department	
	Nature of work: Teaching (α =.82)	
Q45A	Satisfaction with the portion of your time spent on teaching.	
Q70A	The number of courses you teach	
Q70B	The level of courses you teach	
Q70C	The discretion you have over the content of the courses you teach	
Q70D	The number of students in the classes you teach, on average	
Q70E	The quality of students you teach, on average	
Q70H	How equitably the teaching workload is distributed across faculty in your department	
Q70I	The quality of graduate students to support your teaching	

	Facilities and work resources (α =.81)	
Q70F	The support your institution has offered you for improving your teaching	
Q90A	Office	
Q90B	Laboratory, research, or studio space	
Q90C	Equipment	
Q90D	Classrooms	
Q90E	Library resources	
Q90F	Computing and technical support	
Q90H	Clerical/administrative support	
	Personal and Family Policies (α =.85)	
Q95D	Housing benefits (e.g. real estate services, subsidized housing, low-interest mortgage)	
Q95E	Tuition waivers, remission, or exchange	
Q95F	Spousal/partner hiring program	
Q95G	Childcare	
Q95H	Eldercare	
Q95J	Family medical/parental leave	
Q95K	Flexible workload/modified duties for parental or other family reasons	
Q95L	Stop-the-clock for parental or other family reasons	
Q200B	My institution does what it can to make personal/family obligations and an academic career compatible.	
Q200A	I have been able to find the right balance, for me, between my professional life and my personal/family life.	
	Health and retirement benefits (α =.83)	
Q95A	Health benefits for yourself	
Q95B	Health benefits for your family (i.e. spouse, partner, and dependents)	
Q95C	Retirement benefits	
Q95I	Phased retirement options	
	Interdisciplinary work (Pre-tenure α =.83, Tenured α =.87)	
Q100A	Budget allocations encourage interdisciplinary work.	
Q100B	Campus facilities (e.g. spaces, buildings, centers, labs) are conducive to interdisciplinary work.	
Q100C	Interdisciplinary work is rewarded in the merit process.	
Q100D	Interdisciplinary work is rewarded in the promotion process.	
Q100E	Interdisciplinary work is rewarded in the tenure process.	
Q100G	My department understands how to evaluate interdisciplinary work.	
	Collaboration (α =.73)	
Q105A	Opportunities for collaboration with other members of your department	
Q105E	Opportunities for collaboration within your institution, faculty outside your department	
Q105D	Opportunities for collaboration with faculty outside your institution	

Q140F

	,	
	Mentoring (α =.70)	
Q125A	Effectiveness of mentoring for you from someone in your department	
Q125B	Effectiveness of mentoring for you from someone outside your department at your institution	
Q130A	There is effective mentoring of pre-tenure faculty in my department.	
Q130B	There is effective mentoring of tenured associate professors in my department.	
Q130C	My institution provides adequate support for faculty to be good mentors.	
	Tenure policies (Pre-tenure α =.92)	
Q136A	The clarity of the tenure process in your department.	
Q136B	The clarity of the tenure criteria (what things are evaluated) in my department	
Q136C	The clarity of the tenure standards (the performance threshold) in my department	
Q136D	The clarity of the body of evidence (the dossier's contents) considered in making tenure decisions in my department	
Q136E	The clarity of whether or not you will achieve tenure.	
Q139A	I have received consistent messages from tenured faculty about the requirements for tenure.	
Q139B	Tenure decisions here are made primarily on performance-based rather than on non-performance-based criteria.	
	Tenure clarity (Pre-tenure α =.88)	
Q137A	Clarity of expectations regarding your performance as a scholar	
Q137B	Clarity of expectations regarding your performance as a teacher	
Q137C	Clarity of expectations regarding your performance as an advisor to students	
Q137D	Clarity of expectations regarding your performance as a colleague in your department	
Q137E	Clarity of expectations regarding your performance as a campus citizen	
Q137F	Clarity of expectations regarding your performance as a member of the broader community (e.g., outreach)	
	Promotion (Tenured α =.92)	
Q135C	Generally, the expectations for promotion from associate to full professor are reasonable to me.	
Q135B	My department has a culture where associate professors are encouraged to work towards promotion to full professor.	
Q140A	Clarity of the process for promotion from associate to full professor in my department	
Q140B	Clarity of the criteria (what things are evaluated) for promotion from associate to full professor in my department	
Q140C	Clarity of the standards (the performance thresholds) for promotion from associate to full professor in my department	
Q140D	Clarity of the body of evidence (the dossier's contents) for promotion from associate to full professor in my department	
Q140E	Clarity of the timeframe within which associate professors should apply for promotion in rank to full professor	

My sense [of clarity] of whether or not I will be promoted from associate to full professor

orative on Academic Careers in Higher Education	COACHE Instrumentation Summary	
Leadership: Senior (α=.91)		
My institution's president's/chancellor's: Pace of decision making		
My institution's president's/chancellor's: Stated priorities		
My institution's president's/chancellor's: Communication of priorities to faculty		
My institution's CAO's: Pace of decision making		
My institution's CAO's: Stated priorities		
My institution's CAO's: Communication of priorities to faculty		
My institution's CAO's: Ensuring opportunities for faculty to have input into the ins	titution's priorities [small colleges]	
Leadership: Divisional (α =.94)		
My dean's or division head's: Pace of decision making		
My dean's or division head's: Stated priorities		
My dean's or division head's: Communication of priorities to faculty		
My dean's or division head's: Ensuring opportunities for faculty to have input into s	school/college priorities	
Leadership: Departmental (α =.95)		
My department head's or chair's: Pace of decision making		
My department head's or chair's: Stated priorities		
My department head's or chair's: Communication of priorities to faculty		
My department head's or chair's: Ensuring opportunities for faculty to have input i	into departmental policy decisions	
My department head's or chair's: Fairness in evaluating my work		
Leadership: Faculty		
My institution-wide faculty governing body's: Steps taken to ensure faculty are incl	luded in that body's decision making	
Governance: Trust		
I understand the process by which I can express my opinions about institutiona	l policies.	
My institution has clear rules about the various roles and authority of the facult	ry and administration.	
BD Faculty leaders and senior administrators: Follow agreed-upon rules of engagen	ment when there are disagreements.	
BE Faculty leaders and senior administrators: Have an open system of communicat	tion for making decisions.	
Faculty leaders and senior administrators: Discuss difficult issues in good faith.		
Governance: Shared Sense of Purpose		
AD Important institutional decisions not made until consensus among faculty leader	ers, senior administrators is achieved.	
AE Senior administrators ensure that there is sufficient time for faculty to provide i	input on important decisions.	
Faculty leaders and senior administrators: Respectfully consider one another's v	views before important decisions.	
Faculty leaders and senior administrators: Share a sense of responsibility for the	e welfare of the institution.	
	Leadership: Senior (α=.91) My institution's president's/chancellor's: Pace of decision making My institution's president's/chancellor's: Stated priorities My institution's president's/chancellor's: Communication of priorities to faculty My institution's CAO's: Pace of decision making My institution's CAO's: Stated priorities My institution's CAO's: Communication of priorities to faculty My institution's CAO's: Ensuring opportunities for faculty to have input into the ins Leadership: Divisional (α=.94) My dean's or division head's: Pace of decision making My dean's or division head's: Stated priorities My dean's or division head's: Communication of priorities to faculty My dean's or division head's: Ensuring opportunities for faculty to have input into: Leadership: Departmental (α=.95) My department head's or chair's: Pace of decision making My department head's or chair's: Stated priorities My department head's or chair's: Communication of priorities to faculty My department head's or chair's: Ensuring opportunities for faculty to have input My department head's or chair's: Ensuring opportunities for faculty to have input My department head's or chair's: Fairness in evaluating my work Leadership: Faculty My institution-wide faculty governing body's: Pace of decision making My institution-wide faculty governing body's: Stated priorities My institution-wide faculty governing body's: Stated priorities My institution-wide faculty governing body's: Stated priorities Governance: Trust I understand the process by which I can express my opinions about institutional My institution has clear rules about the various roles and authority of the facult Governance: Trust I understand the process by which I can express my opinions about institutional My institution has clear rules about the various roles and authority of the facult Governance: Trust I understand the process by which I can express my opinions about institutional Governance: Shared Sense of Purpose Important institutional decis	

Governance: Understanding the Issue Q188A Existing faculty governance structures offer sufficient opptys for me to provide input on institution-wide policies. Q189AF Once an important decision is made, senior administrators communicate their rationale. Q189BA Faculty leaders and senior administrators: Have equal say in governance matters. Q189BB Faculty leaders and senior administrators: Engage each other in defining decision criteria used to evaluate options.

Q205D

	Governance: Adaptability	
Q188D	My institution's shared governance model holds up under unusual situations.	
Q188E	My institution systematically reviews the effectiveness of its decision making processes.	
Q189AC	My institution cultivates new leaders among faculty.	
	Governance: Productivity	
Q187B	On the whole, the effectiveness of the shared governance system at your institution.	
Q189AA	The governance committees on which I currently serve make observable progress toward goals.	
Q189AB	The progress achieved through governance efforts is publicly recognized.	
	Departmental collegiality (α =.84)	
Q200C	My department colleagues do what they can to make personal/family obligations and an academic career compatible.	
Q200D	Department meetings occur at times that are compatible with my personal/family needs.	
Q205B	The amount of personal interaction you have with pre-tenure faculty in your department	
Q205C	How well you fit in your department (e.g. your sense of belonging in your department)	
Q205E	The amount of personal interaction you have with tenured faculty in your department	
Q210A	My department colleagues "pitch in" when needed.	
Q210C	On the whole, my department is collegial.	
Q212A	On the whole, my department colleagues are committed to supporting, promoting diversity and inclusion in the dept.	
	Departmental engagement (α =.80)	
Q190A	Engagement with faculty in your department in conversations about: Undergraduate student learning	
Q190B	Engagement with faculty in your department in conversations about: Graduate student learning [large institutions]	
Q190C	Engagement with faculty in your department in conversations about: Effective teaching practices	
Q190D	Engagement with faculty in your department in conversations about: Effective uses of technology	
Q190E	Engagement with faculty in your department in conversations about: Uses of current research methodologies	
Q205A	The amount of professional interaction you have with pre-tenure faculty in your department	

The amount of professional interaction you have with tenured faculty in your department

	Departmental quality (α =.88)
Q195A	The intellectual vitality of tenured faculty in your department
Q195B	The intellectual vitality of pre-tenure faculty in your department
Q195C	The research/scholarly/creative productivity of tenured faculty in your department
Q195D	The research/scholarly/creative productivity of pre-tenure faculty in your department
Q195G	The teaching effectiveness of tenured faculty in your department
Q195H	The teaching effectiveness of pre-tenure faculty in your department
Q195I	The teaching effectiveness of non-tenure track faculty in your department
Q240B	My department is successful at recruiting high-quality faculty members.
Q240C	My department is successful at retaining high-quality faculty members.
Q240D	My department is successful at addressing sub-standard tenured faculty performance.

	Appreciation and recognition (α =.92)
Q215A	Recognition you receive for your teaching efforts
Q215B	Recognition you receive for your student advising?
Q215C	Recognition you receive for your scholarly/creative work?
Q215D	Recognition you receive for your service contributions?
Q215E	Recognition you receive for your outreach?
Q215J	For all of your work, recognition you receive from your chief academic officer (provost, VPAA, dean of faculty)?
Q215K	For all of your work, recognition you receive from your dean or division head?
Q215L	For all of your work, recognition you receive from your department head or chair?
Q215I	For all of your work, recognition you receive from your colleagues/peers?
Q220A	My school/college is valued by this institution's President/Chancellor and Provost. [large institutions]
Q220B	My department is valued by this institution's President/Chancellor and Provost.
Q245A	The chief academic officer at my institution seems to care about the quality of life for faculty of my rank.